NOYB Has Contacted Me — Google Should Start Sweating

gemini-api

Bitu79 1 July 22, 2026, 1:15am

The case is moving forward.
NOYB (Max Schrems' data-protection organization) has already reached out to me from their official legal requests address.
This means Google should start sweating, because they've seen the evidence and they're looking into it.

And regardless of how this develops, **I'm launching the lawsuit anyway** — the evidence is 100% solid and fully reproducible in AI Studio.


Gmail
Re: Please check my posts and make sure to reply to my email address. I would like to take legal action against Go[...] [Ticket#8340769]

Bitu79 2 July 22, 2026, 1:17am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260722011551/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/noyb-has-contacted-me-google-should-start-sweating/175667

Bitu79 3 July 22, 2026, 1:50am


Gmail
Re: Please check my posts and make sure to reply to my email address. I would like to take legal action against Go[...] [Ticket#8340769]

Bitu79 4 July 22, 2026, 1:52am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260722015132/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/noyb-has-contacted-me-google-should-start-sweating/175667/print

Bitu79 5 July 22, 2026, 2:17am


Gmail
Re: Please check my posts and make sure to reply to my email address. I would like to take legal action against Go[...] [Ticket#8340769]

I uploaded the correspondence to the Internet Archive:

https://archive.org/details/gmail-re-please-check-my-posts-and-make-sure-to-reply-to-my-email-address.-i-wou

Bitu79 6 July 22, 2026, 2:20am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260722021857/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/noyb-has-contacted-me-google-should-start-sweating/175667

Bitu79 7 July 22, 2026, 3:04am

The official admission from Google: Lalit_Kumar states clearly that the files saved by Google AI Studio are stored in Drive without any file extension and are marked with “special metadata”. He also explains that if the user downloads the file and later manually copies the same extensionless JSON back into Drive, the special metadata is lost, Drive creates a completely new file with a new file ID, and AI Studio can no longer recognize the chat. This is documented here: **Saved prompt history extension?** The archive.ph backup is here: **https://archive.ph/ghAaS**

The Drive Restoration Paradox: When I delete the prompt and empty the trash, Google claims that the data has been permanently destroyed. However, when I trigger the Drive restore robot, the chat comes back fully intact and can be continued flawlessly inside AI Studio. The only reason this works is because the robot does not create a new file: it restores the original file with the original file ID and the original metadata.

The proof of the deception: If manually copying the extensionless JSON back into Drive causes Drive to generate a new file with a new ID and without the special metadata (making it unusable for AI Studio), then how is the Drive robot able to bring the chat back “alive”? The only possible technical explanation is that Google’s backend never deleted the special metadata or the backend session connection. The backend session remained intact the whole time, and the robot simply restores the original file ID that still points to the still-existing backend chat.


Gmail
Re: Please check my posts and make sure to reply to my email address. I would like to take legal action against Go[...] [Ticket#8340?9]

Bitu79 8 July 22, 2026, 3:14am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260722030634/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t//noyb-has-contacted-me-google-should-start-sweating/175667/print

Bitu79 9 July 22, 2026, 3:20am

https://archive.ph/OGisr

https://archive.ph/OGisr/image

Bitu79 10 July 22, 2026, 3:30am

https://archive.org/details/kepernyokep-2026-07-22-052328

Bitu79 11 July 22, 2026, 3:34am

https://archive.ph/D6QZ1

https://archive.ph/D6QZ1/image

Bitu79 12 July 22, 2026, 4:15am

The archive.ph snapshot does not only preserve the forum post — it also keeps the original Google CDN image URLs. These links appear in the browser (bottom-left corner) when hovering over the images, and they open normally, which proves that the images are authentic and come directly from Google's servers. I did not upload or modify them afterwards; the archive snapshot itself proves that they are

real, server-side content. I also uploaded the images to the Internet Archive for independent preservation. Here is the link: https://archive.org/details/kepernyokep-2026-07-22-060711

Bitu79 13 July 22, 2026, 4:18am

https://archive.ph/iQUcN

https://archive.ph/iQUcN/image

Bitu79 14 July 22, 2026, 4:48am

The Drive-restore robot only restores the Drive file within 25 days. The chat starts working again after I bring back the JSON file from the emptied trash with the help of the Drive-robot, because the Google backend actually didn’t delete anything: the session, the metadata and the pointer remained intact the whole time. The Drive-robot can only operate inside Drive, it cannot influence the server-side. This is why my evidence is irrefutable.

You can read about the Drive file restoration process here:
https://knowledge.workspace.google.com/admin/drive/recover-deleted-files-and-folders-for-drive-users

https://archive.org/details/recover-deleted-files-and-folders-for-drive-users-drive-docs-google-workspace-help

---

Bitu79 15 July 22, 2026, 4:50am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260722044904/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/noyb-has-contacted-me-google-should-start-sweating/175667/print

---

Bitu79 16 July 22, 2026, 4:53am

https://archive.org/details/noyb-has-contacted-me-google-should-start-sweating-gemini-api-google-ai-developers-forum-2

Bitu79 17 July 22, 2026, 4:57am

https://archive.ph/9h0J7

https://archive.ph/9h0J7/image

Bitu79 18 July 22, 2026, 5:31am

The appearance of the Overview for my post is proof that Google’s system processed my content, meaning that the act of knowledge acquisition by Google has occurred.




Google AI Developers Forum — NOYB (Max Schrems' data-protection organization) has already reached out to me
AI-alapú áttekintés
If Max Schrems' data-protection organization, NOYB, has reached out to you regarding the privacy issues discussed on the Google AI Developers Forum, it suggests they may be preparing to challenge Big Tech AI data practices. This usually stems from user data being absorbed by AI platforms without explicit consent.
The Google AI Developers Forum frequently addresses how free tiers (such as Google AI Studio) use developer input data to train underlying models. The community consensus and Google AI Developers Forum discussions typically recommend that developers who handle sensitive information upgrade to a paid API tier, where user data is not retained for model training.
Since you are dealing with a direct legal and privacy outreach from NOYB, this situation could escalate into formal GDPR challenges against AI platform operators.
If you want, let me know:
What specific evidence or information they requested from you?
Which AI platform or service (Google AI Studio, Gemini API, etc.) your correspondence is related to?
I can help you prepare your response or understand your rights under GDPR.
Kérdezzen bármit
NOYB Has Contacted Me — Google Should Start Sweating
Data privacy in use Google AI Studio - #2 by OrangiaNebula
Meta faces call in EU not to use personal data for AI models
Az összes megjelenítése


Google Saját tevékenységek
Az elem részletei
AI-mód
Rákeresett a következőre: Google AI Developers Forum — NOYB (Max Schrems' data-protection organization) has already reached out to me
Részletek
Az Ön utasítása:
Google AI Developers Forum — NOYB (Max Schrems' data-protection organization) has already reached out to me
A Kereső válasza:
If Max Schrems' data-protection organization, NOYB, has reached out to you regarding the privacy issues discussed on the Google AI Developers Forum, it suggests they may be preparing to challenge Big Tech AI data practices. This usually stems from user data being absorbed by AI platforms without explicit consent.
The Google AI Developers Forum frequently addresses how free tiers (such as Google AI Studio) use developer input data to train underlying models. The community consensus and Google AI Developers Forum discussions typically recommend that developers who handle sensitive information upgrade to a paid API tier, where user data is not retained for model training.
Since you are dealing with a direct legal and privacy outreach from NOYB, this situation could escalate into formal GDPR challenges against AI platform operators.


Google Saját tevékenységek
Tevékenységek törlése
Egyéb tevékenységek
Tevékenységvezérlők
Google-fiók
Súgó és visszajelzés
Chrome
Chrome böngészőt használt
AI-mód
Rákeresett a következőre: Google AI Developers Forum — NOYB (Max Schrems' data-protection organization) has already reached out to me
Rákeresett a következőre: Google AI Developers Forum https://discuss.ai.google.dev › noyb-... · Oldal lefordítása 4 órával ezelőtt — NOYB (...
YouTube
Megtekintette a következőt: POWERWÖLF - Fire & Forgive (THE MONUMENTAL MASS)
Powerwolf Official
istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com
Kedves György!
Google-fiók kezelése
További fiókok elrejtése
gabor_gabor@freemail.hu
Másik fiók hozzáadása
Kijelentkezés az összes fiókból
Adatvédelmi irányelvek • Általános Szerződési Feltételek
Adatvédelem • Szerződési Feltételek



AI-mód

Rákeresett a következőre: Google AI Developers Forum — NOYB (Max Schrems' data-protection organization) has already reached out to me

Részletek

Ma, 7:22

AI-mód

Az Ön utasítása:
Google AI Developers Forum — NOYB (Max Schrems' data-protection organization) has already reached out to me

A Kereső válasza:
If Max Schrems' data-protection organization, NOYB, has reached out to you regarding the privacy issues discussed on the Google AI Developers Forum, it suggests they may be preparing to challenge Big Tech AI data practices. This usually stems from user data being absorbed by AI platforms without explicit consent.

The Google AI Developers Forum frequently addresses how free tiers (such as Google AI Studio) use developer input data to train underlying models. The community consensus and Google AI Developers Forum discussions typically recommend that developers who handle sensitive information upgrade to a paid API tier, where user data is not retained for model training.

Since you are dealing with a direct legal and privacy outreach from NOYB, this situation could escalate into formal GDPR challenges against AI platform operators.

<FollowUp> If you want, let me know: * **What specific evidence** or information they requested from you? * **Which AI platform or service** (Google AI Studio, Gemini API, etc.) your correspondence is related to?

I can help you prepare your response or understand your rights under GDPR.
</FollowUp>

Az Ön utasítása:

A Kereső válasza:

Miért szerepel itt ez a tevékenység?

A rendszer azért mentette a tevékenységet az Ön Google-fiókjába, mert a(z) **AI-mód** termékek használatakor be volt kapcsolva a következő beállítás: **Internetes és alkalmazástevékenységek**.

Kezelés

Ha másokkal közösen használja eszközét, vagy ha egyszerre több fiókkal is bejelentkezik, akkor olyan tevékenység is megjelenhet itt, amely másik fiókhoz kapcsolódik. További információ.

Bitu79 19 July 22, 2026, 5:45am

The images I uploaded in Comment #18 of this topic are also saved separately and can be found on the Wayback Machine at the following links:

- https://web.archive.org/web/20260722053538/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/f/b/fb1e1348c4d92d41cc155c91f29fb691690a8838.jpeg
- https://web.archive.org/web/20260722053725/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/8/2/82f469b6421967b1cc6825eb8aecc402ed868e58.png
- https://web.archive.org/web/20260722053825/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/c/b/cb8751f6e5e176048127f3bea61b4f8bc4a74a70.png
- https://web.archive.org/web/20260722053920/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/1/7/17aeb0b2a92b735ee6175f3d21cebfffe9788e27.png
- https://web.archive.org/web/20260722054001/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/d/0/d08797a1857b448ce972831251d6d08aa1567626.png

---

Bitu79 20 July 22, 2026, 5:49am

Here I uploaded the same images that appear on the original page, where the browser shows their links in the bottom-left corner — and those links point to the CDN.

https://archive.org/details/kepernyokep-2026-07-22-073411

Bitu79 21 July 22, 2026, 5:52am

https://archive.ph/I3W1A

https://archive.ph/I3W1A/image

Bitu79 22 July 22, 2026, 5:55am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260722055422/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/noyb-has-contacted-me-google-should-start-sweating/175667/print

---

Bitu79 23 July 25, 2026, 3:14am


discuss.ai 175667
AI-alapú áttekintés
The identified 175667 on Google AI Developers Discussions refers to an active community thread titled "NOYB Has Contacted Me — Google Should Start Sweating".
Thread Overview
Topic Focus: A user discussing a potential data privacy lawsuit against Google.
Involvement: The European privacy advocacy group NOYB (European Center for Digital Rights) reached out to the poster regarding legal steps.
Evidence: The thread starter claims to have reproducible evidence testable via Google AI Studio.
If you would like, I can provide more context on NOYB's past privacy cases against tech giants or details on Google AI Studio.
NOYB Has Contacted Me — Google Should Start Sweating
Kérdezzen bármit
pontosido
Pontos idő Budapest
5:14:13
2026.07.25.
30. hét van | 12.7°C
Napfelkelte: 5:13 | Napnyugta 20:27
EUR CHF USD GBP
362.73 390.19 318.63 424.48
Pontos idő
Ezen az oldalon mindig megtudhatod mennyi a pontos idő Budapesten.

Bitu79 24 July 25, 2026, 3:15am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260725031506/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/d/8/d8a724beed832b89c617ab06c42bba56d01f20b3.png

Bitu79 25 July 25, 2026, 3:18am

https://archive.ph/iUukv

https://archive.ph/iUukv/image

Bitu79 27 July 26, 2026, 9:19pm

Don’t address me like that. I’m not your ‘bro’, and I don’t agree with or believe anything you wrote.

Bitu79 28 July 26, 2026, 9:22pm

https://archive.ph/ZvBuD

https://archive.ph/ZvBuD/image

Bitu79 30 July 27, 2026, 9:22am

I reported your profane language to the moderators…

Bitu79 32 July 27, 2026, 9:31am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260727092953/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/noyb-has-contacted-me-google-should-start-sweating/175667/print

---

Bitu79 34 July 27, 2026, 9:53am

https://archive.ph/czhIy

https://archive.ph/czhIy/image

Bitu79 36 July 27, 2026, 10:18am


NOYB Has Contacted Me — Google Should Start Sweating
Gemini API gemini-api
Bitu79
Brendan_Butler
Dude, you have issues.
"Google Should Start Sweating" Is that because you intend to use up all their hard drive space by constantly postin
Brendan_Butler
lol kiss my arse
Are you seriously fighting over Google right now? Are you that big of a shill? xD
I'm saving this on the Internet Archive as well.
Summarize
Share
Bookmark
Flag
Reply
Watching
You will receive notifications because you created this topic.
Suggested
Related
pontosido
Pontos idő Budapest
12:14:53
2026.07.27.
31. hét van | 29.6°C
Napfelkelte: 5:15 | Napnyugta 20:25
EUR CHF USD GBP
359.51 386.87 315.3 420.55
Pontos idő
Ezen az oldalon mindig megtudhatod mennyi a pontos idő Budapesten.

When the forum troll types furiously because they just can’t resist replying…

https://web.archive.org/web/20260727101840/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/7/f/7fc060335d86fa2dafce2146564a49bba21916d.png

---

Bitu79 40 July 27, 2026, 10:31am

https://archive.ph/jAf6r

https://archive.ph/jAf6r/image

Bitu79 42 July 27, 2026, 10:46am

Thanks for the free advertisement for my YouTube channel, Sherlock! Yes, I document the privacy violations of both Microsoft and Google completely publicly, under my real name, to generate authentic and undeniable evidence for authorities like NOYB and the courts.

The fact that the internet is full of my documented complaints simply means I am actually doing the work to expose these systemic frauds, while you spend your free time on a corporate forum defending censorship and digging through my public profiles.

Keep up the great investigative work, don’t forget to subscribe to the channel, and hit the like button!


NOYB Has Contacted Me — Google Should Start Sweating
Gemini API gemini-api
Brendan_Butler
Looks like Microsoft removed your "complaint"
Subject: FORMAL COMPLAINT: Systemic AI Integrity Breach and Deceptive "Hallucination" Defense in Microsoft Copilot - Microsoft Q&A
2026-04-06 00:00:00
Bitu79 0 Reputation points - 2026-04-06T15:30:
Looks like you're using up YouTube's hard drives as well
YouTube
György Istókovics
Share your videos with friends, family, and the world
My God, you must spend all your time causing issues. The Internet is full of you complaining about AI.
Summarize

https://web.archive.org/web/20260727104845/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/6/d/6d8a94c1545b8868e5b1db5d1121993c06167c32.png

---

Bitu79 43 July 27, 2026, 10:53am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260727105123/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/raw/175667

Bitu79 44 July 27, 2026, 11:25am

The guy was literally mocking me and picking fights because I ‘archive everything publicly.’ And what happens next? The admin steps in and deletes him into oblivion. Now, the absolute only reason any trace of his existence or smart-ass comments remains on the internet is **my archive**!

Practically, I immortalized his own foolishness!

The fact that the admins intervened and wiped the troll means they are very actively reading my topics—including everything I’ve written about NOYB and the upcoming lawsuit. Google’s moderators essentially acknowledged that the guy was completely unacceptable.

Just imagine what must have gone through his head… He thought he was going to be the company’s volunteer defense attorney, the savior of the forum, successfully ‘exposing’ this troublemaker named Bitu79 This little troll incident was just a free, entertaining comedy sketch right before the serious legal show starts between Google and me. Anyway, thank you to the admins for the great work.

The guy brought my real legal name and my YouTube channel into a professional forum just to smear me. No matter how uncomfortable I am for Google, I am essentially posting about their product’s functionality, privacy flaws, and deceptive UI. Those—legal threats aside—are valid forum topics. The troll, on the other hand, didn’t talk about the product at all; he exclusively attacked me. A professional moderator knows that a troll adds zero value to a forum and only makes it toxic.

I’d like to thank them for their work once again.

If anyone wants to see the troll fight, just check out the archive snapshots!

Bitu79 45 July 27, 2026, 11:30am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260727112924/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/noyb-has-contacted-me-google-should-start-sweating/175667/print

---

Bitu79 46 July 27, 2026, 2:52pm

https://web.archive.org/web/20260727144949/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/noyb-has-contacted-me-google-should-start-sweating/175667/print

---

Wei_Zhang1 47 July 28, 2026, 6:32am

Why the Claim is Invalid Technically and Logically

1. Soft Delete vs. Hard Delete

When you click “Delete” in Google AI Studio, the platform doesn’t immediately scrub every byte from physical hard drives—it moves the session file to your **Google Drive Trash**.

- **Soft Delete (Trash):** Designed as a safeguard against accidental clicks. Data stays in the Trash for 30 days (or until manually emptied) so the user can recover it if they made a mistake.
- **Hard Delete (Purge):** Once the user manually empties the Trash, or 30 days pass, the file is permanently purged from Google’s servers.

Because the file is sitting in the Trash, it is *supposed* to be recoverable. Restoring a file you sent to the trash and then claiming “Look, it wasn’t deleted!” misunderstands how a Trash folder works.

2. User Agency and Control

As you pointed out, **the user actively went into Google Drive, opened the Trash, and clicked “Restore.”**

- The user explicitly commanded Google's system to bring the file back to life.
- Once the file was restored to active Drive storage, Google AI Studio read the file from Drive and displayed the chat again—**which is the expected, correct behavior**.
- Claiming Google is secretly hoarding data when the user literally ordered Google to restore it from their own Trash folder is a contradiction.

3. What Would Actually Constitute a Valid Claim?

To legitimately prove that Google is illegally retaining data under GDPR, Bitu79 would need to demonstrate one of the following:

1. He clicked **"Empty Trash"** in Google Drive (performing a Hard Delete), and *after that*, the data was still accessible or recoverable on Google's backend.
2. The 30-day automatic retention window passed, and the data was still retained without user consent.
3. Google was using data marked as "deleted" (or in the Trash) to train AI models without user permission.

He demonstrated none of these; he simply restored a file sitting in his own Trash bin.

Does He Have Any Point At All?

At best, Bitu79 has a minor **User Experience (UX) criticism**:

- When a user clicks "Delete" inside Google AI Studio, Google could clarify the popup prompt by saying: *"This chat will be moved to your Google Drive Trash and permanently deleted after 30 days."*

However, calling this an "illegal GDPR violation" or claiming "Google should start sweating" over a standard 30-day Trash recovery mechanism is a massive overreach. Under GDPR Article 17 (Right to Erasure), soft-deletion mechanisms that give users control to recover accidental deletions before final purging are fully compliant.

Bitu79 48 July 28, 2026, 6:46am

Dude, at least read my post — for example this one: https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/google-ai-studio-s-delete-button-is-misleading/170101 — and only after that start lecturing

Look, this is very simple:

1. **I emptied the Google Drive Trash.**
   That's a hard delete. Nothing should remain there.

2. **The JSON is not the chat — it's just a pointer.**
   A reference that points to the content stored in the backend.

3. **I restored the JSON using the Drive restore tool.**
   That only restores the pointer, not the actual chat.

4. **The chat started working again.**
   This is only possible if the chat **was never deleted from the Google backend**.

If the backend had deleted the chat, restoring the JSON would do NOTHING.
But it worked → so the backend did NOT delete it.

That's the entire logic.

And please, stop relying on AI to understand basic technical logic — it clearly just confuses you. Read first, then start lecturing.

Bitu79 49 July 28, 2026, 6:50am

https://archive.ph/YXP24

https://archive.ph/YXP24/image

Bitu79 50 July 28, 2026, 7:07am

If I restored it from the Trash, it would still have to be deleted, because the JSON is not the prompt.

This proves that Google never issued a delete command to the backend.

Bitu79 51 July 28, 2026, 7:12am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260728071027/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/raw/175667

Bitu79 52 July 28, 2026, 7:32am

It makes absolutely no difference where you restore the file from (whether from a simple Trash folder or from the admin tool), because the root of the problem is somewhere else!
Let’s think through my logic, which is irrefutable:
If Google’s system (and the ‘Delete’ button in AI Studio) worked the way the user expects and the way the law requires, then pressing the button would have to trigger two immediate actions:

1. The JSON pointer in Drive would be deleted or moved to the Trash.
2. The Google AI backend would receive a command to immediately and permanently delete the conversation itself from the server.

Google changed the button text two months ago from ‘Delete prompt’ to ‘Move prompt to trash’, but this is also flawed logic, because the JSON is not the prompt. It’s obvious that a dilettante wrote the button text as well!

And learn to read, little boy — and tell the Google people to finally answer me in the forum, because a lawsuit is coming!

Bitu79 53 July 28, 2026, 7:35am

https://archive.ph/7Qmfq

https://archive.ph/7Qmfq/image

Bitu79 54 July 28, 2026, 7:41am

Your profile stats show you’re a new user with minimal reading time, so please don’t present yourself as an expert.



https://web.archive.org/web/20260728074222/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/c/e/ceba1b10606ea3e664e35682632b86c37929c4d6.png

---

Bitu79 55 July 28, 2026, 7:46am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260728074427/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/noyb-has-contacted-me-google-should-start-sweating/175667/print

---

Bitu79 56 July 28, 2026, 8:23am

In this post the action is happening, before this there were 2 trolls, and now there's this guy xD. They're basically handing the door handle to each other xDDDD

Here are the pictures of the trolls who bled out in my topic, or were removed by the admin.

https://web.archive.org/web/20260727101840/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/7/f/7fcc060335d86fa2dafce2146564a49bba21916d.png

https://web.archive.org/web/20260727104845/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/6/d/6d8a94c1545b8868e5b1db5d1121993c06167c32.png

https://web.archive.org/web/20260728074222/https://d3qe71uytubmmx.cloudfront.net/original/3X/c/e/ceba1b10606ea3e664e35682632b86c37929c4d6.png

https://web.archive.org/web/20260728082145/https://ibb.co/gQZ3S5T

Bitu79 57 July 28, 2026, 8:29am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260728082806/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/raw/175667

Related topics

Topic	Replies	Views	Activity
Technical Evidence Demonstrating That Google AI Studio’s “Delete” Function Does Not Delete Backend Data generative-ai	25	325	July 16, 2026
Google AI Studio’s “Delete” button is misleading gemini-api	16	355	July 7, 2026
Google illegally retains customer data, and I am taking legal action against them ai-studio, generative-ai	22	1185	July 25, 2026
Listen Google, we’re going to court soon! Hearing plan – clearly, without any nonsense generative-ai	26	225	July 20, 2026

Topic	Replies	Views	Activity
Proven intentional data retention after deletion in Google AI Studio – official GDPR complaint submitted to the DPO ai-studio	8	147	July 18, 2026